Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH 柯創盛議員

Geographical Constituency - Kowloon East

Democratic Alliance for the Betterment and Progress of Hong Kong

Membership in Environmental-related Committees:

 Bills Committee on Waste Disposal (Charging for Municipal Solid Waste) (Amendment) Bill 2018

Voting Record:

8 December 2016: Motion Moved by Hon Chan Han-Pan on "Updating the	
Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines and Increasing Community	Yes
Facilities to Enhance Living Environment" as Amended by Hon Alice Mak,	162
Ir Dr Hon Lo Wai-Kwok, Hon Frankie Yick and Hon Andrew Wan	
1 June 2017: Motion on "Promoting 'Hong Kong People Using Hong Kong	Na
Water' and Protecting Local Resources"	No
5 July 2017: Proposed Resolution Moved by Secretary for the Environment	
under the Product Eco-Responsibility Ordinance and the Interpretation	Yes
and General Clauses Ordinance	
16 November 2017: Proposed Resolution under the Energy Efficiency	V
(Labelling of Products) Ordinance	Yes
31 January 2018: Protection of Endangered Species of Animals and Plants	V
(Amendment) Bill 2017 - Third Reading	Yes
12 April 2018: Motion Moved by Hon Frankie Yick on "Promoting the	
Popularization of Electric Vehicles" as Amended by Hon Kenneth Leung,	
Hon Charles Peter Mok, Ir Dr Hon Lo Wai-Kwok, Hon Yung Hoi-Yan, Hon	
Chan Hak-Kan and Hon Tanya Chan	

Panel on Environmental Affairs Attendance:

As Non-Member

2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
4	1	0	2

Comments Made in Environmental-related Committees:

Bills Committee on Waste Disposal (Charging for Municipal Solid Waste) (Amendment) Bill 2018

20181205	1.	Mr OR pointed out that there had been public discontent over the
		implementation of WPRS. He opined that the Administration
		should provide information on the target deliverables of the

	proposed charging scheme, assessment of its cost-effective 2. Mr OR sought the following inf	
	(a) details of the publicity and the implementation of the pro (b) the work plan for the instationing and depositing/disposation and the Administration would add use of such cameras for enforce (c) how the Administration was a such cameras for enforce (c) how the contract	public education efforts to facilitate posed charging scheme; allation of IP cameras to deter flyal of noncompliant waste, and how ress privacy issues arising from the
20190326	charging level under the Envi Shopping Bags, Mr OR express	planned to review the scope of and ronmental Levy Scheme on Plastic sed concern about the impact of a rging level on people in financial
20190415	Kwun Tong and Sha Tin, Mr O provide supplementary infor progress of the outreaching communication network with value-for-money indicators outreaching teams.	ng services in the Eastern District, R requested the Administration to mation to (a) elaborate on the teams in establishing a direct various stakeholders and (b) provide for assessing the work of the
	actions against fly-tipping; (b) what measures would I development of the recycling clintroduction of the proposed chare would be sufficient outly the waste stream; and (c) why the numbers of FEHI outsourced cleaning workers	continue to step up enforcement be implemented to support the hains of different materials after the harging scheme, so as to ensure that lets for recyclables recovered from D's in-house cleaning workers and in Kwun Tong and Wong Tai Sin e numbers in most other districts,
20190430	6. Dr CHENG, Mr OR , Mr HUI a	nd Mr CHENG expressed concerns es in taking enforcement actions

		against depositing of NCW in various types of buildings (such as
		buildings with mixed uses), and preventing aggravation of fly-
		tipping after the implementation of the proposed charging scheme
		(if the Bill was passed). They also asked about the enforcement
		manpower required.
20190507	7.	Mr OR asked how the Administration would foster the
		development of the recycling chains of materials of low economic
		value, and whether it would increase the number of CRVs.
20190520	8.	The Deputy Chairman, Dr QUAT, Mr CHEUNG, Mr CHENG and Mr
		OR raised the following views and questions in respect of the use
		of surveillance camera systems:
		(a) the Administration should provide information as follows: (i)
		the numbers of surveillance cameras installed/to be installed by
		•
		EPD and FEHD respectively, and (ii) relevant statistics to
		demonstrate the effectiveness of the surveillance camera systems
		in deterring fly-tipping activities;
		(b) given that there were many fly-tipping black spots across the
		territory, and fly-tipping activities could be shifted to locations
		where surveillance camera systems were not installed, how the
		Administration would ensure that the surveillance cameras it
		deployed would provide sufficient coverage, including whether
		surveillance cameras with mobility features would be introduced
		for efficient redeployment if and when necessary; and
		(c) how the Administration would tackle the fly-tipping problem at
		a black spot if relevant stakeholders raised objection to the
		installation of a surveillance camera system at the location.
	9.	Mr OR expressed deep concerns about the potential aggravation
		of fly-tipping in public rental housing ("PRH") estates after the
		implementation of the proposed MSW charging scheme (if the Bill
		was passed), and that the proposed risk-based enforcement
		approach to be adopted for the scheme might not be effective in
		curbing disposal or fly-tipping of non-compliant waste ("NCW"). He
		enquired:
		(a) whether EPD and FEHD had installed/would install any
		surveillance camera systems in PRH estates for combating fly-
		tipping activities;
		(b) whether HA/the Housing Department ("HD") would be given
		additional resources for installing surveillance camera systems in

	ı	PRH estates for monitoring residents' compliance with the
	ŀ	proposed MSW charging scheme (if the Bill was passed); and
	((c) whether HA/HD had been consulted on the Bill and/or the
	ŀ	proposed MSW charging scheme, and if so, what views HA/HD had
	(on the proposed monitoring and enforcement mechanisms.
20191111	10. /	As the implementation of the Construction Waste Disposal
	(Charging Scheme ("CWDCS") had given rise to fly-tipping
	ŀ	problems, and the proposed MSW charging scheme would have an
	•	even larger scope of application compared to that of CWDCS, Mr
	\	WU and Mr OR questioned how the Administration would prevent
	t	the aggravation of fly-tipping problems due to the implementation
	(of the proposed MSW charging scheme (if the Bill was passed).
20191218	11. I	Referring to the Annex to LC Paper No. CB(1)249/ 19-20(02), Mr
	(OR and Mr CHENG sought explanation on the seemingly smaller
	1	numbers in 2019 (up to September) of convictions and fixed
	F	penalty notices issued against illegal disposal of construction and
	(demolition ("C&D") waste in various districts, compared with the
	1	numbers in the preceding years.
20200421	12. I	Mr OR said that he supported in principle the implementation of
	1	MSW charging that accorded with the "polluter-pays" principle.
	ŀ	However, he and the Deputy Chairman commented that the long-
	9	standing rodent and fly-tipping problems had invited doubts about
	t	the Administration's capability to prevent aggravation of
	•	environmental hygiene problems arising from the implementation
	(of the proposed MSW charging scheme, if the Waste Disposal
	((Charging for Municipal Solid Waste) (Amendment) Bill 2018 ("the
	E	Bill") was passed.
	13.	The Deputy Chairman and Mr OR opined that the Administration
	9	should further explain to the public through different
	(communication channels the rationale for proposing the
	i	ntroduction of MSW charging.
	14. [Mr CHENG and Mr OR expressed views about the situation of HC's
	(chairmanship election and its impact on the legislative process of
	t	the Bill.